

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

WEDNESDAY, THE 11TH JULY 2007 / 20TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 20735 of 2007(R)

PETITIONER:

**KUNHALAN KUTTY K.C.,
AZHUVALAPPIL HOUSE, KUMMINIPARAMPU P.O.,
KONDOTTY (VIA), MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.V.P.MOHAMMED NIYAZ

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. DISTRICT COLLECTOR, MALAPPURAM.**
- 2. REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
TIRUR, MALAPPURAM.**
- 3. PALLICKAL PANCHAYATH,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, PALLICKAL P.O.,
KONDOTTY, MALAPPURAM.**
- 4. KUDAKKAN AHAMED,
PULLATTU CHALIL HOUSE,
KUMMINI PARAMPU P.O.,
KONDOTTY (VIA), MALAPPURAM.**

**BY ADV. SRI.BABU S. NAIR
SMT.M.T.SHEEBA
SRI.M.MUHAMMED SHAFI
GOVT. PLEADER SRI.P.K.RAVIKRISHNAN**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 11/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1 TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DTD. 15-6-07 FILED BY
PETITIONER BEFORE THE THIRD RESPONDENT.**
- EXT.P2 TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DTD. 18-6-07 FILED
BEFORE THE FIRST RESPONDENT.**
- EXT.P3 TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DTD. 18-6-07 FILED BY THE
PETITIONER BEFORE THE SECOND RESPONDENT.**

/True Copy/

PA to Judge.

K.M.JOSEPH, J.

W.P.(C).No. 20735 OF 2007

Dated this the 11th day of July, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner has approached this court feeling aggrieved by the inaction on the part of respondents 1 to 3 on the complaint submitted by the petitioner against illegal construction being made by the fourth respondent.

2. Prayer in the writ petition is for a direction to the third respondent to take appropriate action on Ext.P1 complaint and also issue stop memo to the fourth respondent.

3. I heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the third respondent.

4. Learned counsel for the third respondent Panchayat submits that action was indeed taken in the matter and stop memo is issued to the fourth respondent calling upon the fourth respondent to stop the construction. There is no appearance for the fourth respondent. As the third respondent has already initiated action and also issued stop memo, nothing survives for

further consideration. Recording the submission of the learned counsel for the third respondent, the writ petition is disposed of.

(K.M.JOSEPH, JUDGE)

SV.

